1	COMMUNITY COMMISSION for PUBLIC SAFETY and ACCOUNTABILITY
2	PUBLIC MEETING
3	Thursday, November 13, 2025, 6:30 p.m. Kennedy-King College
4	6301 South Halsted Street U-Building Chicago, Illinois
5	
6	
7	APPEARANCES: President Remel Terry
8	Commissioner Anthony Driver Jr. (Via Zoom) Commissioner Aaron Gottlieb
9	Commissioner Sandra Wortham Commissioner Abierre Minor
10	Commissioner Rubi Navarijo Commissioner Gina Piemonte Executive Director Adam Gross
11	Executive Director Adam Gross
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

PRESIDENT TERRY: Good evening, everyone. 1 2 Thank you all for your patience and for being here. The November 13th meeting of the 4 Community Commission for Public Safety and 5 Accountability is called to order. I will begin 6 by doing the call of the roll. But prior to 7 that, I will ask that you all place your cell 8 phones on silent mode, remain seated, and if you 9 will be using any small hand-held devices, please 10 refrain from using them in a way that interferes 11 12 with the ability of others to see or hear the proceedings, and we'll establish a quorum by 13 calling the roll. 14 Commissioner Gottlieb. 15 COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: Present. 16 PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Minor. 17 COMMISSIONER MINOR: Present. 18 PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Piemonte. 19 COMMISSIONER PIEMONTE: Present. 20 PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Rubi Navarijo. 21 22 COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: Present. PRESIDENT TERRY: President Terry is here. 23

Commissioner Wortham.

24

COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Present.

PRESIDENT TERRY: With six of the

Commissioners present, we have a quorum, but we
do have a request for Commissioner Driver to
participate virtually, so I move that

Commissioner Driver be allowed to participate
virtually.

COMMISSIONER GOTTLIEB: Second.

PRESIDENT TERRY: All those in favor, signify by saying aye.

(CHORUS OF AYES.)

PRESIDENT TERRY: Are there any opposed?

(NO RESPONSE.)

PRESIDENT TERRY: The ayes have it, and Commissioner Driver is able to participate virtually.

The next item of business is public comment. If you would like to share something related to the Commission's work on Public Safety and Accountability, you have a few options. You can speak at a public meeting such as this. You can also submit public comment in writing by emailing your comment to

24 CommunityCommissionPublicComment@cityofchicago.

org, or you can bring a copy of your comment to 1 one of our Commission's public meetings and give it to someone on the Commission or someone on the Commission staff. 4 5 People who wanted to speak during 6 the public comment period tonight were asked to submit their names in writing earlier. 7 We currently have two speakers, and 8 so once your name is called, you will be given 9 two minutes to speak. 10 Our first speaker is District 11 12 Councilor Chloe Vitale, and then the next speaker is Jackie Baldwin. 13 Hello. My name is Chloe Vitale. 14 MS. VITALE: I'm one of the District Council members for the 15 12th District. 16 17 This time last year, the majority of District Council members voted to advance a 18 proposal for a 2025 Commission priority. 19 We would like for the Commission to 20 help us transfer oversight privileges from CPD 21 22 Internal Affairs Bureau, BIA, to COPA for police

A year later, we have little

officers accused of hate affiliation.

23

tangible progress on this issue to share with our constituents.

An email from your staff informed us in September that you were discussing BIA's performance with Deputy Mayor Gatewood.

COPA was created because of a lack of accountability and transparency within BIA.

A majority of District Council members already believe that COPA is the most appropriate investigatory body for such an urgent and consequential problem as dual CPD and hate group membership.

We ask you to stand with us and our communities and work with us to achieve this goal.

To that end, emails and updates are not working. We urgently need to create a working relationship that goes beyond surveys and updates. We need to meet as a group, all 74 of us, and build a working relationship.

The Commission's work is supposed to be driven by the people, and as the elected officials representing them in this space, we District Council members are your best asset.

Please utilize us. Thank you.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you.

MS. BALDWIN: Hi. Good evening. I'm Jackie Baldwin. That's B-A-L-D-W-I-N. I've come to many of these meetings as always. Thank you for your commitment and dedication to doing this work. You know, we talk a lot about pretextual stops, and I've often heard officers speak to tools that they need to enforce laws. But this news this week that came out regarding the \$172 million dollars in settlements that had been paid out for claims tied to one officer.

I am here again tonight to stress that it's most important that police accountability be a part and a priority of this work. And while I know that you cannot do anything about the settlements, I do ask that you continue to make police accountability just as much a priority as making sure officers have the tools that you believe they need to do this job.

This kind of payouts and settlements for someone who's never been held accountable should be objectionable to all of us in this room and across the City.

So thank you for the work that you do, and I hope that you will continue to prioritize the same level of accountability in CPD that we expect of all City employees. Thank you.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you. Before I move on to the next order of business, have we received any other public comments, or are there any virtual?

So we've reached the end of our public comment period. Thank you, again, to all of the speakers. We do value your input.

The next order of business is the approval of the minutes. Before today's meeting, a draft of the minutes was circulated around to Commissioners regarding our meeting held on October 30th. Are there any corrections to the draft minutes that have been circulated? If there are no corrections, I move to approve the minutes.

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: Second.

PRESIDENT TERRY: I move to approve the minutes of the Commission's meeting held on October 30th.

COMMISSIONER GOTTLIEB: Second.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Gottlieb has seconded. Is there any debate on the motion?

Hearing none, we will move to a vote. Those in favor of adopting the motion to approve the minutes of the Commission's meeting held on October 30th, please say aye.

(CHORUS OF AYES.)

PRESIDENT TERRY: Are there any opposed?

(NO RESPONSE.)

PRESIDENT TERRY: The ayes have it, and the motion carries. The minutes are approved.

New business. Our next order of business is the first item in -- the first item is Commission elections.

At the Commission's October meeting,
I was elected as Commission president. That
created a vacancy for the Commission's vice
president seat.

At the last meeting, Commissioner
Minor and Navarijo were nominated to serve as
vice president. Today we will vote. To do this,
each Commissioner will indicate their vote for
vice president in a roll call vote. When I call

each Commissioner's name, they will indicate 1 their vote by saying the name of the person who they are voting for to be vice president of the Commission. 4 Again, the two acceptable responses 5 to this question are either Commissioner Minor or 6 Navarijo. With that, I move to open voting. 7 COMMISSIONER MINOR: Second. 8 PRESIDENT TERRY: I moved to open voting. 9 Commissioner Minor has seconded and voting will 10 commence. 11 I'll call each Commissioner in 12 alphabetical order. Commissioner Driver? 13 Okay. So we'll come back as they 14 deal with technical challenges. 15 Commissioner Gottlieb. 16 17 COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: Minor. PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Minor. 18 COMMISSIONER MINOR: Minor. 19 PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Piemonte. 20 COMMISSIONER PIEMONTE: Minor. 21 22 PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Rubi Navarijo. COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: Rubi Navarijo. 23 PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Terry. Rubi 24

Navarijo. 1 Commissioner Wortham. 2 COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Commissioner Rubi 3 Navarijo. 4 PRESIDENT TERRY: All right. Do we have 5 Commissioner Driver virtual so that we can --6 Commissioner Driver? 7 COMMISSIONER DRIVER: Thank you all for your 8 patience. Can you all hear me? Thank you. I 9 apologize. 10 PRESIDENT TERRY: No worries, Commissioner 11 12 Driver. What is your vote? It's either Minor or Rubi Navarijo. 13 COMMISSIONER DRIVER: Rubi Navarijo. 14 PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you. 15 Commissioner Minor having received three votes 16 17 and Commissioner Rubi Navarijo having received four votes, Commissioner Rubi Navarijo has been 18 elected as vice president of the Community 19 Commission for Public Safety and Accountability. 20 Congratulations. 21 Our next order of business will be 22 reports and updates. We will begin with the 23 update from Commissioner Gottlieb regarding the 24

CPD budget.

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: Thank you, President.

On November 4, the Commission sent its annual report on CPD's proposed budget to City Council.

By ordinance, the Commission is required to review CPD's proposed budget every year prior to City Council voting on it.

The proposed budget for 2026 is very similar to CPD's approved budget for 2025.

CPD's overall budget increased by about \$38 million and lose 14 positions. The budget is getting larger, even though the number of employees is getting smaller, mostly because many CPD employees will get the salary increases in 2026. Most of those increases are required by union contracts.

The Commission's budget report highlighted a few key areas of interest and concern in the proposed CPD budget.

One issue that the Commission has highlighted in every one of its budget reports is workforce allocation. 91 percent of the proposed CPD budget pays for people, but the budget provides pretty limited information about what

those people do.

The proposed budget provides basic information about CPD personnel, like the total number of patrol officers and the total number of detectives, but it doesn't provide information like how many patrol officers will be working in each police district.

Those decisions obviously have a significant impact. For example, response times are slower on the south and west sides of Chicago than they are on the north side. Workforce allocation decisions could have impact on that.

CPD is also doing a lot of work on community policing, and workforce allocation decisions will have a big impact on whether or not CPD can expand its efforts to give patrol officers more time to build relationships with community residents and work with them to identify and address public safety issues in their communities.

Workforce allocation decisions also have impact on how many police officers are assigned as supervisor, and that can impact on how much mentoring and support police officers

get and how much accountability there is in the system.

In each of the Commission's budget reports, the Commission has called for a Workforce Allocation Study. That study is supposed to be wrapped up early next year. The study should give CPD better tools to decide where and what police officers should be deployed. It will probably take a few years to fully implement the results of the study, but we hope CPD will start to put it to good use next year.

The Commission also continued to raise concerns about the amount of money the City pays every year for legal judgements related to policing and police misconduct.

In almost every year for the last 15 years, CPD has been spent even more on legal judgements than it has budgeted. In some recent years, the City spent almost twice as much as it budgeted for CPD related to legal judgements.

Once again, the proposed budget for legal judgments is a lot less than what the City has spent for CPD in recent years.

In the Commission report on the proposed 2026 budget, we say once again CPD and the City need to do more to learn from its mistakes and manage risk by doing things like identifying patterns of police misconduct or policies and practices that result in large legal judgements.

Another issue that the

Commission's flagged in every one of its budget
reports is the cost of overtime for CPD
employees. In almost every year for the last
many years, CPD has spent much more on overtime
than was included in the budget.

For instance, in 2023, the City budgeted \$100 million for CPD overtime, but CPD spent \$282 million. In 2024, the City again budgeted \$100 million for CPD overtime, but CPD spent \$238 million. For 2026, the City has proposed to spend \$200 million. That comes a lot closer to what CPD has spent on overtime in recent years but still substantially lower than what CPD actually spent on overtime in 2023 and 2024.

CPD has said that there will be

limits in overtime spending in 2026, and that City Council will need to vote to approve overtime spending that is above the limits.

Additionally, the Commission raised questions about how the City will pay for CPD's Professional Counseling Division. That division is 31 staff members who provide mental health and substance abuse counseling services to police officers and other CPD employees.

Right now, the Professional

Counseling Division is paid with money in the

City's corporate funds. That's where most of our

City tax dollars go, and pays for most of what is

in the City budget, including most of the CPD

budget.

The proposed 2026 budget would stop using the corporate funds to pay for the Professional Counseling Division, and instead take money from a proposed Community Safety Fund. The Community Safety Fund will get revenue from a proposed surcharge on businesses -- well, it's -- I think this is sort of changing -- but at the time have over 100 full-time employees who perform at least half of the their work in

Chicago. Sometimes it's called the Corporate Head Tax.

A majority of City Council members have raised concerns about this, so we're concerned because the Professional Counseling Division provides incredibly important services, and we want to make sure that it's fully funded, even if the proposed community safety surcharge is not enacted.

Another example of the lack of budget transparency involves how several critical investments are not included in CPD's budget, making it hard to know just how much is spent on public safety efforts. This includes things like police vehicles, computers, communications equipment, and capital improvements.

The Commission's full review and report is posted on our website, and I encourage you to look at it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you, Commissioner Gottlieb.

Now, we will move on to an update regarding traffic stops, and I'll turn it over to Commissioner Wortham.

COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Thank you, President Terry.

So good evening, everyone. As I'm sure many of you are aware, traffic stops and traffic stop policy is ongoing with the Commission. As we have mentioned in pretty much every meeting, a little over a year ago it was recommended -- the Independent Monitor recommended that traffic stops be added to the Consent Decree.

And since then, the Commission has engaged in work with, of course, CPD, the Independent Monitor, the Attorney General's Office to figure out what that might look like moving forward. And also, of course, engaged the public in various ways, various focus groups, our online feedback form to try to get feedback from the community as to what the community would like to see a traffic stop policy to look like.

So that work is ongoing. I will just say, as I said a few weeks ago at our meeting in October, the traffic stop policy is not finished. So I would very much encourage you all and your neighbors and your friends and all

Chicagoans to continue to give your feedback on traffic stops.

We as a Commission will continue to work. President Terry and I are on that work group on behalf of the Commission, but the work is not over, so please do continue to share your feedback to ask questions if you have them of us or whatever the appropriate body might be, so that, hopefully, we can get to a place, whether they're added to the Consent Decree or not, where we have a policy that works for the entire City. Thank you.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you, Commissioner Wortham.

Over the last three years, concerns have been raised about potential extremism within the Chicago Police Department.

In 2022, information came to CPD alleging that several police officers had associated with extremist organizations. These kinds of complaints are handled by CPD's Bureau of Internal Affairs which conducts investigations about allegations of certain types of misconduct and makes recommendations around discipline.

In 2023, BIA started an investigation into eight members of the Department with alleged ties to extremist organizations.

In 2024, BIA determined that it didn't have enough evidence to sustain these allegations and concluded the investigation without making any disciplinary recommendations.

The Commission then worked with CPD to review one of the policies that could be used to address alleged extremism within the Police Department.

In April of 2024, the Commission passed an amendment to CPD's general order on criminal and biased-based organizations.

The purpose of that order is not to prohibit CPD members from associating with criminal -- of that order is to prohibit CPD members from associating with criminal or biased-based organizations, but the order wasn't clear about prohibiting associations with extremists or racist organizations.

The amendment added clarity about what kinds of groups CPD members can associate

with, including groups that use or promote violence to deny people's rights or that use or promote terrorism to overthrow the government or that promote illegal prejudice, oppression, or discrimination.

Soon after that, the Deputy
Inspector General of public safety sent a letter
to Mayor Johnson that highlighted a history of
issues with BIA investigations.

The letter said that the previous

BIA investigations about extremism have been

deficient. The letter recommended that the Mayor

convene a task force made up of people from

different City government departments to develop

a comprehensive plan for identifying and

eliminating extremism and anti-government

activities and associations with CPD.

The task force started meeting in January, and Commissioner Minor has been attending these meetings to monitor the progress.

Also in January, the Commission voted to approve goals for the CPD Superintendent which included the establishment of mechanisms to track complaints tied to the amended general

order about criminal and bias-based organizations.

Tonight, we will receive updates on both of these efforts to prevent extremism in City government.

Our first update will be from CPD regarding its implementation of the amended general order on associations with criminal and biased organizations, then we'll hear from the Mayor's Office on Community Safety on the extremism and government task force.

First, we'll have a presentation from Deputy Chief Traci Walker from Chicago Police Department.

DEPUTY CHIEF WALKER: Good evening, everyone. My name is Traci Walker. I am Deputy Chief of the Bureau of Internal Affairs, and I am going to be talking tonight about the updates to our policy. So thank you for having me here today.

Go on to slide two, please. So we're going to give an update on the policy GO8-O3 on prohibitions on criminal and biased organizations.

This policy implementation was goal

number three in the 2025 CCPSA Superintendent goals.

Tonight, we will be discussing the policy, training, and system enhancements to the case management system related to General Order G08-03.

Next slide, please. So the policy was formally approved by the CCPSA at the end of October of 2023. It became effective January 23rd, 2024. There were subsequent minor revisions to the policy that were made and took effect on June 24th, 2024, but the adjustments were largely administrative in nature and no impact on the direction of the policy.

As we know, training is a critical component of ensuring consistent policy implementation, and we continue to use multiple methods from our monthly directives and our e-learning modules to make sure that all members have access to the information and guidance they need to conduct these investigations.

The policy was communicated through our directives in both January and June of 2024. For more comprehensive training, the Department

developed an e-learning module that covers both policies G08-03 and G09-01-06 which discusses the use of social media outlets.

The training is actually scheduled to be rolled out tonight. So the orange checkmark can actually be turned to green. So we'll have the training pushed out through our e-learning system this evening on this policy.

We have separate e-learning courses that were created specifically for the Bureau of Internal Affairs investigators, and this module is currently under review by the INT and Office of Attorney General.

Our next slide, please. So in collaboration with COPA, the Bureau of Internal Affairs first implemented a new category code within the case management system to better track affiliation cases.

We have a display on how it is tracked in our case management system here on the PowerPoint above.

Under the new structure, the intake member or the investigator will select affiliation in the first category of our case

management system. In the second category, they will choose any of the membership. And in the third final designation, they will choose any of the above up there, which will be the Criminal organization, biased-based organizations or gang affiliation for any of those codes.

The BIA quarterly report for Quarter 2 of 2025 was recently published on the Department's website. This report included allegations of membership involvement in the criminal or biased organizations as defined in the policy, and it was tracked in our new system.

So, lastly, I would like to acknowledge our continued participation in the Mayor's Office of Community Safety Task Force with which we have been actively engaged since the beginning of this year. The task force team after my presentation will provide a more detailed presentation following this update.

That's all I have for you guys.

Thank you for this opportunity. If you have any questions for me, I will be happy to answer questions.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you, Deputy Chief.

Are there any questions from Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: Thank you for coming today and thanks for this presentation. I

4 appreciate it.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So can you walk us through sort of what happens -- so if someone is identified as being a member of one of these organizations, what happens after that? What are the steps?

DEPUTY CHIEF WALKER: We -- first of all, when a case comes through a formal complaint, it goes through COPA. COPA has the first right of refusal for all complaints. COPA will push it to the Bureau of Internal Affairs for investigation. Our intake section, they review the complaint. They reach out and make contact with the reporting party, if one is noted, by email or by telephone number and try and gather more information related to the complaint. complaint is then filed, the log number is given to an investigator within the Bureau, and they would schedule an interview with the reporting party and do a preliminary investigation, any open-source intelligence they may be able to gather related to the member. We have access to

social media. We have a social media team that does some deep diving into social media of the member, if identified. And then they use whatever methods to conduct investigations, whether it's interviewing witnesses and gathering information. They would present the allegations, notifications to the member, and then they would come in and provide a statement to us.

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: If it is substantiated, so what would happen?

DEPUTY CHIEF WALKER: If there is proof 51 percent -- we have preponderance of the evidence -- we sustain the allegation. If we do not have any evidence to support the allegation, then it would have to be not sustained.

COMMISSIONER GOTTLIEB: I guess my question is -- so I mean there was -- I mean well-known some concerns about kind of how these have been dealt with in the past. So, I guess, like for community members who are concerned about that, what should give them confidence now that things will be handled in a way that, perhaps, is more appropriate.

DEPUTY WALKER: Well, one thing that will

give the community confidence the investigation will be handled thoroughly is that we have a new policy in place that gives us all the tools we need.

As the President mentioned before, our policies didn't include types of organizations or biases or anything like that.

We've also made enhancements to our social media policy. So if someone, for instance, makes a post -- a racist comment on social media, and we have a member that goes on, and he liked the post or they make a comment to the post, our social media policy prohibits members from doing that as well. So we would have a sustained finding for that activity.

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: Thank you.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you. Commissioner Piemonte.

COMMISSIONER PIEMONTE: So how are they identified then? Is it solely by complaints from people, or is there something internal that you do to identify?

DEPUTY CHIEF WALKER: They can be by the reporting party, a community member. It can be a

report of any affiliation by another Department member or anyone in the community.

Officers within the Chicago Police
Department, if they observe or witness any
behavior, they are bound by our directives to
report any misconduct or anything of that nature.

We have rules and regulations,
Rules 21 and 22 speak to that. So if there is
someone who is aware or has knowledge of any
misconduct, they are to report it to us. And if
they don't, then, of course, there will be some
allegations made against that member as well for
failing to do so.

COMMISSIONER PIEMONTE: Thank you.

PRESIDENT TERRY: So thank you so much, Deputy Chief.

I do have one quick question, because, of course, we're a little bit more informed than the public; and two, sort of the question that Commissioner Piemonte was alluding to, can you walk through what that process looks like from the beginning for recruits to sort of ensure that they are not associated with any of these entities prior to them even coming into the

Department and when they first learn about all of these rules to make sure that they're adhering to it?

DEPUTY CHIEF WALKER: When a member applies to become a police officer, of course, the background investigation is conducted by the OPSA, Office of Police Safety Administration, human resources. They do the background investigation. They have a contract with Kentech who does the background investigations. So they are responsible for doing a scrub, so to speak, or triage of that member's history, and that includes speaking to neighbors, past relationships, family members, and things of that nature.

If -- when the member comes into the Police Academy, they go through all types of training.

Bureau of Internal Affairs, we have a one-day session with the recruits, and we go through all of our rules and regulations with those recruit members. So they are aware -- and we give examples of all of the rules, Rule 1 through 54. They have full knowledge of what

those mean. We also provide background on some of the investigations that we've conducted for some members who have sustained findings on some of those violations.

So we do an extensive training with our recruits on the rule violations. And we -- after that training, we do have a lot of recruits that, you know, make complaints. Not necessarily against extremist groups or anything like that, but whatever type of behavior that they find to be uncharacteristic or an embarrassment to the Department, they do report on some of those members.

So if you or anyone in the community, any police officer, they can make an allegation, one, through calling our BIA section. They can make an allegation of any misconduct to a supervisor. They can also contact COPA. They can also contact the Office of Inspector General's Office, and then they can also make a web complaint. If they want to remain anonymous, they are welcome to do so. We take anonymous complaints as well. But those are the methods of which you can make a complaint of any misconduct.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you so much, Deputy 1 Chief. I think that's all the questions. 2 DEPUTY CHIEF WALKER: Thank you, guys, for 3 your time. 4 PRESIDENT TERRY: Now we will hear from 5 6 Deputy Mayor Garien Gatewood with an update from the Mayor's Office of Community Safety on the 7 Mayor's Extremism and Law Enforcement Task Force. 8 DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Extremism and 9 government. Looking at the whole government. 10 Afternoon. Or Good evening. 11 12 we doing? Garien Gatewood, Deputy Mayor for 13 Community Safety. We launched our task force to 14 look at extremism in government back in January. 15 Officially launched. We started work sometime 16 17 before that. You can go the to the next slide. Do I have a clicker up here? 18 So the OIG issued a report back in 19 April calling on us to create a task force of 20 which we did. 21 22 We did ask the OIG to be a member of it. While they aren't members of the task 23 force, they have been helpful in some of the work 24

and conversations with Inspector General Witzburg. So just wanted to highlight that point.

We can go over to the next page and really talk about the members of this group and how we've started our work together. So you see on this slide, the cadence and the composition of the entire task force is working together. We have the Department of Law, obviously CCPSA.

Thank you, Commissioner Minor, for all your help and support there. Obviously, the Office of the Mayor, the Department of Human Resources, the Office of Public Safety Administration, and the Chicago Police Department.

These meetings started initially meeting bimonthly for information gathering, and then they transitioned into monthly meetings and incorporated one-on-one meetings with the agencies and also met with subject matter experts.

The reason we spent time meeting one-on-one with the agencies is because we needed to have a better understanding of the work that each of the agencies were doing to rule out

extremism across government in general, so we can know what policies are already in place, how we could improve on those policies, and use those as a space to not only learn, but gain and gather information of other jurisdictions on how we can approve here in the City.

We broke out our work in few phases. We had our foundational phase, which is on the next page, that actually went through four key areas; recruitment and hiring, training and operations, misconduct investigations, and accountability.

The prevention stage obviously focused on the recruitment and hiring and really thinking about how we can educate our partners on how to interact.

The identification stage focused on training, operations, and misconduct investigations, and creating clear investigation procedures to ensure transparency and collaboration, and then finally the elimination stage focused on misconduct investigations and accountability. This phase consisted of establishment of an oversight procedure and

ensures policies are enforceable so they can be sustained and efforts to rooting out behaviors focused on hate, racism, and extremism.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'll talk a little bit about the implementation phase. The Task Force met with the Mayor and all agency leadership, including the Superintendent, Corporation Counsel, Mary Richardson Lowry, DHR Commissioner Blakemore, the executive director of OPSA, Eric Patterson, and at that time President Driver -- always happen to meet with the new president Remel Terry as well, too -- to really go through where we were with our work at the time, the recommendations that we had, and those recommendations, some of them are listed below; developing a City-wide policy to rule out bias, hate, racism, and extremism for all employees, increase employee awareness of the dangers of bias, hate, racism, and extremism, apply cross-department collaboration to ensure well-rounded investigations, because I think there is a lot of areas and spaces for opportunity there. Update and enhance background processes and procedures. Ensure proper investigative procedures for all complaints.

Spread awareness on CPD's policy related to extremism; complete all investigations in a timely, thorough, and consistent manner; convene public meetings to present on the progress of the task force, and ensure all recommendations adhere to labor laws and First Amendment rights.

One of the ways we thought about gathering public input is we created a survey to look at those recommendations and make sure when we have those recommendations, we're able to incorporate public feedback into the policies that we're working to develop.

This work will be ongoing.

Obviously, extremism and racism in general doesn't end with the beginning of a task force.

This is going to be consistent work that will go on for the foreseeable future so we can all work together for rooting it out.

So with that, I'd like to be efficient and open it up for some questions.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you so much, Deputy Mayor. Do we have any questions? Yes, Commissioner Rubi Navarijo.

COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: Thank you,

Deputy Mayor, for coming and presenting here today.

What role does DHS take? Do they investigate extremism allegations as well, or is that just BIA as of right now?

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: We want DHR's help in development of the City-wide policy so it's not just focused on CPD, because I believe that extremism is obviously an issue that should be eradicated across the board. When you think about something like the Water Department -- and I've used this example before. The Water Department provides water to more than 5 million people, and having someone who has extreme beliefs in the Water Department is just as dangerous as having someone in the Chicago Police Department.

So this is why we looked at extremism in government and didn't limit our interactions and our focus solely on the Chicago Police Department.

So DHR will be responsible for working with us after we finish developing the policy, mapping out the training and making sure

that policy is adhered across all of our City agencies and our sister agencies.

COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: I'm assuming that's going to take a little longer than the CPD policy? Like where are we at in the progress?

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Yeah, so we're working with external partners to help us continue to develop that process. We're working with law firms, also the Department of Law to map out how we can, one, make sure we are adhering to labor laws and protect the person's rights as we go down this process to look at the entire operations, the entire City, so we're not just again focusing on the Chicago Police Department, but every agency in the City, so it will take a little longer.

COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: Which stakeholders and subject matter experts did you consult with? And what kind of findings did you get from them?

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Yeah, we looked at quite a few. We had meetings with folks who had issues around extremism in general, people had worked on it across the country. We met with

alders, we met with Southern Poverty Law Center, and so many others to see some of the work that they have done across the country so we can have better sense of how those stakeholders were impacted.

And like I mentioned earlier, we know this work doesn't end with a handful of meetings and development policies. This is work that's going to be a continuation until we actually do the work to root out extremism across all government.

COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: Thank you.

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Yeah, no problem.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you. Commissioner

Gottlieb. TERRY: Thank you. Commissioner

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: So thank you for being here today. Really appreciate it. And I also appreciate this idea of this whole government approach, but I do want to focus on, I think, what was the impetus for this which was concerns about the way BIA was handling extremism cases.

The OIG raised concerns about this specifically. So I'm curious as to whether the task force has looked at BIA to see if there

should be changes to the BIA policy or practice. So, yeah, I'll start there.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Yeah, I think it's important to note and -- the OIG did a very thorough investigation. I think something that's often glossed over is the Chicago Police Department asked the OIG to partner on investigations because BIA and the Chicago Police Department don't have subpoena powers. So they did ask multiple times to come together on an investigation and grant subpoena powers so they could do a deeper dive into that investigation. I think you may have seen that in the OIG report. I think there were three requests that went in for that. So I think we have to look towards, one, how we can have and corroborate in the future to investigate together. So I think that's a big piece of how we move forward, and that's why one of the recommendations looks at cross-departmental investigations, so we can really have a thorough approach to how we address any of these interactions in City government.

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: So I guess so the recommendation is not about any changes to how

BIA is functioning, it's about purely just collaborating with the OIG, is that what I'm hearing?

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: No, no. The recommendation is all City agencies work together to eradicate extremism, bias, hate across the entire operation of the entire City.

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: But I'm focused specifically on like the case of BIA. So there's -- at this point is it fair to say that there are no recommendations about changes that BIA should make?

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: We looked at the entire form of government throughout the entire City. So we didn't focus on one department. We looked at all 30 plus agencies in the City of Chicago.

COMMISSIONER GOTTIEB: Okay.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Rubi Navarijo.

COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: Is there going to be an expectation that intergovernmental agreement might be signed by OIG and CPD so they can work better together? Is that what you're saying?

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Well, I think that's something that those departments will have to explore. These are conversations we have been able to have separately with each entity, and we will work to get the entity together to see what they come up with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

PRESIDENT TERRY: Commissioner Minor.

COMMISSIONER MINOR: Not so much as a question but a little bit more of a statement. Right? I know in the process of us being part of the task force, the Commission did send a letter to the Mayoral Task Force and kind of put our recommendations and what we want to see and the work at that we do. I know we included we wanted to see a deeper recommendation about BIA and figuring out about the subpoena powers. myself and President Terry has also had a conversation with OIG's office about the subpoena issue and what their stake was and how they share powers and what that investigation looks like. They did share with us limitations. They can't really grant subpoena powers to other organizations. So I know there is going to have to be a deeper dive in how to address this

problem, and I know these questions really speak to how the Commission feels deeply about wanting to make sure that this is encapsuled and answered in some of the work that we do because this is what the community really called for us to do in establishing the task force as well. So I just wanted to uplift that, too.

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Yeah, absolutely.

One, I want to thank you for the work you all did on that. We've also talked to members of the City Council and the Department of Law about this as well, so this is something that we're going to explore to see the best path forward, to figure out to make sure we have the most thorough investigation as possible.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you. Any other questions?

So one quick question I have is, can you share what the community engagement process looks like for this? How people can learn more about this work? Where can they go? How can they sort of give their insights and recommendations to, you know, what's happening?

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Absolutely. Can we

go back one slide? There we go. We want to make sure we have a robust community engagement process. One, we want to continue to partner with CCPSA, our Police Board. We're also going to look at our -- we have quarterly meetings through the People's Plan for Community Safety and Mayor's Office of Community Safety to have opportunity for people to provide feedback.

We will blast out the opportunity for people to fill out the survey, engage with us, and we really want to make sure as we continue to develop these policies, that people have all the input that they possibly can. And this is why I mentioned earlier, none of this work is final, because this is the work that we will continue to do into perpetuity. So I think some of those pieces — and we're always open for more opportunities for community engagement and feedback, because this impacts everyone, and as you all know, it's not just the City of Chicago, but the whole world is watching us now. I think that will be a part of our process.

PRESIDENT TERRY: Thank you. Thank you so much, Deputy Mayor.

DEPUTY MAYOR GATEWOOD: Thank you, all.

PRESIDENT TERRY: So during the session,

Commissioner Minor had a quick question or

statement you wanted to make.

commissioner minor: Absolutely. Thank you so much, President Terry. I just have a question as it relates to the traffic stop policy. So I know in our last meetings, we talked about how there was a recommendation to the judge first to enter into -- for traffic stops to enter into the Consent Decree and that there's some other stakeholders that we have to engage with in order to be compliant with the Consent Decree process if we are to include traffic stops in the Consent Decree. I just wanted to know is there any updates on the timeline for when you have met with those stakeholders and how those meetings are going?

PRESIDENT TERRY: Yes. So as was mentioned in prior meetings -- the last time we met was in September, so we don't have any additional updates related to what we've already previously communicated out. And there isn't really a strict timeline related to that because we're on

the IMT schedule. So whenever there are any additional updates from any meetings that we have in the future, we will make sure that we definitely put them forth during theses sessions.

COMMISSIONER MINOR: And is there any kind of scheduled meeting in the process? Like have we sent any emails out to try to engage with those stakeholders on majority opinion or anything like that?

COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: So like we've said in the last two meetings, because there are all these different parties, we -- I don't want to say at their mercy. Frankly, it is the IMT who is heading the process to work with all the negotiating parties, and that, as you know, there are limits to what we can share in this process, but we have told the public repeatedly, and I will say it again, as we have things that we can share, we will always come to these meetings and share it.

In the update that I gave today is the update that we have to date that we are continuing to work with the various parties on behalf of the Commission, and we will continue to

do that, and when we have another substantive 1 2 update, we will give it. COMMISSIONER MINOR: Thank you. PRESIDENT TERRY: We will now move to 4 5 adjournment. To connect with the Community 6 Commission for Public Safety and Accountability 7 via social media, Facebook is Chicago CCPSA or 8 search for Community Commission for Public Safety 9 and Accountability. Instagram and Twitter 10 CCPSA Chicago. YouTube at Chicago CCPSA. 11 12 The Commission's next regular meeting will be Thursday, December 11, at 6:30 13 p.m. at the JLM Abundant Life Community Center at 14 2622 West Jackson Boulevard. 15 16 We hope to see you all there. And 17 without any further business before the Commission, I move to adjourn. And thank you all 18 for attending tonight's meeting. 19 With no further business, before 20 the Commission, we will now move into a closed 21 22 meeting regarding appointments. Thank you, all. (Meeting adjourned at 7:29 p.m.) 23

24

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	Re: CCPSA PUBLIC MEETING November 13, 2025
3	November 13, 2023
4	
5	I, MAUREEN A. WOODMAN, C.S.R., do hereby certify that the foregoing Report of Proceedings
6	was recorded stenographically by me and was reduced to computerized transcript under my
7	direction, and that the said transcript constitutes a true record.
8	
9	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of
10	any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action.
11	_
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand of office at Chicago, Illinois this 26th day of December 2025.
13	day of becember 2020.
14	
15	
16	
17	Malmy
18	MAUREEN A. WOODMAN, CSR License No. 084.002740
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	