1	COMMUNITY COMMISSION for PUBLIC SAFETY and ACCOUNTABILITY
2	PUBLIC MEETING
3	Thursday, August 28, 2025, 6:30 p.m. DePaul College Prep
	3300 North Campbell Avenue
4	Chicago, Illinois
5	
6	
7	APPEARANCES: President Anthony Driver
8	Vice President Remel Terry Commissioner Aaron Gottlieb (Via Telephone)
	Commissioner Sandra Wortham
9	Commissioner Abierre Minor Commissioner Rubi Navarijo
10	Commissioner Gina Piemonte Executive Director Adam Gross
11	Executive Director Adam Gross
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

```
PRESIDENT DRIVER: Good evening, everyone.
1
 2
     The August 28th public meeting of the Community
     Commission for Public Safety and Accountability
     is called to order at 6:41 p.m. We will begin by
 4
     calling the roll to establish a quorum.
 5
     Commissioner Gottlieb. Is he virtual?
 6
          COMMISSIONER GOTTLIEB:
                                  Present.
 7
          PRESIDENT DRIVER: Commissioner Driver is
 8
     present. Commissioner Minor.
 9
          COMMISSIONER MINOR: Present.
10
          PRESIDENT DRIVER: Commissioner Piemonte.
11
12
          COMMISSIONER PIEMONTE:
                                  Present.
          PRESIDENT DRIVER: Commissioner Rubi
13
     Navarijo.
14
          COMMISSIONER RUBI NAVARIJO: Present.
15
16
          PRESIDENT DRIVER: Commissioner Terry.
17
     Commissioner Wortham.
          COMMISSIONER WORTHAM:
                                 Present.
18
          PRESIDENT DRIVER: Commissioner Gottlieb has
19
     participated virtually.
20
                    I move that Commissioner Gottlieb
21
22
     be allowed to participate virtually. Is there a
     second?
23
          COMMISSIONER MINOR:
                               Second.
24
```

PRESIDENT DRIVER: I moved, and it's been seconded by Commissioner Minor that Commissioner Gottlieb be allowed to attend virtually. All those in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.

(CHORUS OF AYES.)

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Are there any pays?

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Are there any nays?

(NO RESPONSE.)

PRESIDENT DRIVER: The ayes have it in the opinion of the Chair, and Commissioner Gottlieb will be allowed to participate virtually.

With six out of seven commissioners of the Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability present, we have a quorum and can conduct the Commission's business.

The next item of business is public comment. If you would like to share something related to the Commission's work or Public Safety and Accountability, you have a few options. You can speak at a public meeting, you can also submit public comment in writing by emailing comments to CommunityCommissionpubliccomment@CityofChicago.

org, or you bring a copy of your comments to the

Commission public meeting and hand it to someone on the Commission or the Commission staff.

People who want to speak during the public comment period tonight were asked to submit their names in writing earlier tonight.

Names were then drawn at random by a member of the Commission staff. Speakers will be called in the order in which their names were drawn. If your name is called to offer public comment, we ask you approach the microphone and line up in the order. When it is your turn to speak, say your name and spell your name for the record and offer your comments. Each speaker will be allowed two minutes. We have allotted 20 minutes for public comment.

Our first speaker is Dion McGill which would be followed by -- first speaker is Dion. Second is Peter Contos, and third is District Councilor Beth Rochford. And Dion is also a District Councilor.

MR. McGILL: Good evening. My name is Dion McGill. D-I-O-N, M-C-G-I-L-L, and I'm a District Councilor for the 7th Police District.

As a counselor, I take seriously

the responsibility to connect the dots between community harm and police oversight.

Recent lawsuits, including an officer suing the Department for racism and intimidation, and multiple suits coming out of the 18th District over racially driven pretextual traffic stops remind us that CPD's policies are not theoretical. They carry real legal, financial, and human consequences.

While some may claim current policies don't need to change, the proof is in the pudding.

Practices that are racially biased, that even CPD's own officers are subject to, are one of several issues bleeding the City dry.

Taxpayers pay the settlements, communities lose trust, families pay in trauma.

So my question to the Commission is this. What are you doing to ensure these lawsuits inform real policy changes? And how are you addressing these harms proactively rather than waiting to react after the damage, financial, human, and reputational, because these are damaging the reputation of this body, as well

as District Councilors is already done.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'd appreciate more public discussion from this body of how you intend to grapple with these specific issues and what will happen moving forward because the cost of inaction is simply too high. Thank you.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you. Is there a Peter Contos?

MR. CONTOS: Hello. Good evening, everybody. My name is Peter Contos. P-E-T-E-R, C-O-N-T-O-S. I'm here representing a nonprofit organization Cannabis Equity Illinois Coalition. We are a proud member of the Free2Move campaign. And the reason I am here today is to share a couple of quick notes, really kind of echoing what our previous speaker just mentioned. We have a very unique opportunity when it comes to our traffic stop policy to revise that and ultimately to end pretextual traffic stops through policy change. I'm really just calling on and imploring you all to continue to push to end pretextual traffic stops altogether. We know they are harmful. We know they are discriminatory. Ultimately, we know they are ineffective when it comes to

keeping people safe.

CPD has tons of other tools at their disposal. We have a city that pours billions of dollars into their budget every year. There are millions of avenues to make effective traffic stops.

Echoing similar to our previous speaker, pretextual traffic stops don't just cost the City human lives, reputation, trust, but also millions of dollars that we cannot afford as we look at what's happening with the federal government. We are going to be losing a lot of money from the federal government. We need to save as much money as we possibly can, and it is really a shame that we have to make this about a financial argument, because this is about human lives, this is about equity, and also making sure Chicago is a City for everybody to live healthy, safe, and fulfilling lives. So thank you.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you.

MS. ROCHFORD: Hello. Elizabeth, traditional spelling, Rochford, R-O-C-H-F-O-R-D, 17th

District Counselor. I don't know how many of you here watch or read the news. I try not to, but

many of my comrades regularly send me things that I need to know about and here are a few. Just in the last few weeks, there have been troubling articles about the tact teams violating people's rights during traffic stops, leading to this City paying out large amounts of settlements. These are not old cases, as many people claim, but under the watch of this Superintendent.

A lawsuit was just filed in relation to racial harassment by fellow officers. If they are doing this to their own officers, what are they doing to the community?

Also reports that they inappropriately filed a report with this state that Krystal Rivera was shot by a suspect and not by her partner.

My community is asking me about these reports and want to know what you're doing and how you are holding CPD accountable, which is your primary responsibility.

Have you called on the Superintendent to account? He claims he wants all officers to be community policing officers, but when given the opportunity to discuss how

this will be accomplished, no one at CPD can answer how, when, where these trainings will occur, and how we will be ensured to the public this is actually happening.

If you haven't called on the Superintendent to account, then you are failing our neighbors. You need to do what the Commission was created for, police accountability. You promised transparency when we nominated you, and you need to demonstrate that to the community now. Thank you.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you. Our next three speakers are Al Salas, DC Schoenburg, and I believe this is Kay or Ray London.

MR. SALAS: Alan Salas. A-L-A-N, S-A-L-A-S. Regarding traffic stops, why are some commissioners in an administrative body attempting to alter a state law? Traffic stops seem to be decreasing on their own. Down from 535,000 in 2023 to 293,000 in 2024 per CPD's statistics, so a 45 percent decrease.

In addition, of the traffic stops in 2024, 92 percent only received a citation, not a ticket. They got off with a warning.

Also, of the 3600 traffic stops in 2024 where the driver had consented to a search, 75 percent of those resulted in contraband being seized.

Additionally, from the July meeting, your own survey showed the public overwhelmingly wants to continue the traffic stops as is. So it seems like there would be other reforms that you — that would not impact public safety negatively that you could be working on. Thank you.

MR. SCHOENBURG: Good evening. Sam

Schoenburg, 19th Police District Council. I

would like to welcome you all to the 19th Police

District. Thank for holding your meeting here.

I would also like to address the traffic stop

debate this Commission's been having.

First, I'd like to commend you all for your public airing of arguments for how CPD should address this issue. It is still a rarity to have police policy debated in public, have those arguments aired. You're doing a service by doing so. I know it is not always easy, and I thank you for it. That said, I do want to

address some arguments that I thought were seriously flawed in that debate.

At the last meeting, one commissioner argued against CPD traffic stop policy because it would differ from traffic stop policies in our neighboring jurisdictions, but this Commission only has jurisdiction over the City of Chicago, and that argument, if taken to its conclusion, would mean this body could not make any policy over Chicago if it differed from its neighbors.

Another commissioner argued strenuously that the Commission should not vote for targeted traffic stop limitations because the Commission is not a law-making body.

While true, this Commission is a policy-making body. And it's the Commission's job to set priorities for how CPD exercises its discretion.

Discretion is a fundamental part of law enforcement. We know that the police cannot and do not enforce all laws at all times at all places.

And recently, CPD's use of that

discretion has been extremely racially disparate in its use of traffic enforcement.

Black and Latino drivers were many times more likely to be stopped than white drivers.

By contrast, for instance, I, a white driver in this district, have never once been stopped by CPD for a traffic violation.

These stops also sweep incredibly broadly. The vast majority end in no citation at all. And in 2024, less than 1 percent recovered a qun.

No one will say we shouldn't get illegal guns off the street, but the question before you is whether this specific tactic resulting in hundreds of thousands of unwanted and racially disparate interventions in everyday people's lives is worth the cost and mistrust and unequal justice.

You are a policy-making body, and your job is to weigh the community's priorities in making policy judgment.

I commend those and the majority who did vote to eliminate low-level traffic

stops. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you.

Is there a Ray or Kay London here?

Are there any other virtual speakers?

This concludes our public comment period. We value your input.

Our next order of business will be approval of minutes. Before today's meeting, draft minutes of the Commission's regular meeting held on July 31 were shared with all commissioners. Are there any corrections to the draft minutes that have been circulated?

COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Okay. And so I will just apologize in advance. These were circulated in advance. I did not share what I am about to say with my Commissioners, but I would suggest, specifically in light of some of the public comment and also questions that I've received from community members since, if you look at the minutes, I understand the efficiency of truncating -- so we basically outline the votes, but we do not have minutes detailing the debate. I think absent the detail, we should have in the minutes some more of your comments, President

Driver, that introduce the vote, so that the public if they were to go look at our minutes we're clear of the impact of those votes, because a lot of those questions I received right after our meeting, Well, does that mean now the Chicago Police Department cannot no longer do these stops because we voted, and I don't think from the minutes it's clear.

I think we need the minutes to detail the actual minutes of the meeting which included the debate and the various questions, or we need to add more introductory comments in the minutes that explain that the votes were for direction for the policy-working group, and that they did not make final our positions on policy.

Again, I do apologize. I did not bring this up before the meeting, but just in reading the minutes directly prior to the meeting, I do think that would be best for our Commission just so people reading the minutes are clear what we're doing and the procedural impact of that.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Are you proposing we do

not pass these? 1 COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Yeah, I would be a no. PRESIDENT DRIVER: I think tabling this. 3 other Commissioners like to discuss the approval 4 of the minutes from the last meeting? 5 6 I will also say I have read our minutes before, and I do agree with you. For me 7 personally, I'm okay because the meetings are 8 publicly posted online, so the public can go back 9 and rewatch every meeting. But I do agree, a 10 little more detail will be a good thing. Anyone 11 12 else want to speak to this item? I'll move to table this item until 13 our next regularly scheduled meeting. That's 14 okay to table this? 15 Second. 16 VICE PRESIDENT TERRY: 17 PRESIDENT DRIVER: I move, seconded by Vice President Terry, to table the approval of the 18 meeting minutes to the next regularly scheduled 19 meeting. All those in favor, signify by saying 20 21 aye. 22 (CHORUS OF AYES.) 23 PRESIDENT DRIVER: Any nays? (NO RESPONSE.) 24

PRESIDENT DRIVER: In the opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it.

We will now move on to our next order of business, which is new business, which is reports and updates.

Our next order of business is an update regarding the Commission's process for developing recommendations on the CPD budget. For that, I will pass it to Commissioner Gottlieb.

COMMISSIONER GOTTLIEB: Thank you, President Driver. By ordinance, the Commission has the power and duty before a City Council vote on CPD's budgets, to review and, if needed, recommend changes to the proposed CPD budget appropriation every year.

The Commission's review of CPD's budget is guided by the Commission purpose listed in the ordinance, including increasing public safety, building trust between communities and CPD, increasing the efficient use of public safety resources, and encouraging preventive, proactive, community-based and evidence-based approaches to public safety.

Since 2022, the Commission has released a new budget report that highlights the Commission's identified priority items for strategic investments in CPD's budgets.

Some of these priorities areas for progress have included workforce allocation, community policing, training, and leadership development, legal judgements, data reporting and transparency, victim services, and work conditions and facilities.

For a more in-depth look at the Commission's prior budget analysis and recommendations, the QR code -- not behind me, behind people on stage, will take you to the Commission's website where all the reports are posted.

Community input is essential as we consider CPD's budget in 2026.

Next month, we would like to hear from you what you think should be reflected in CPD's budget.

If you would like to share your thoughts on CPD's budget, make sure you are following our social media channels and subscribe

to our emails to stay up to date on our budget engagements and outreach.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you, Commissioner Gottlieb.

Next we will hear an update from Commissioner Terry on the COPA search.

VICE PRESIDENT TERRY: We are happy to announce the application for the next Civilian Office of Police Accountability -- Police Safety and Accountability Chief Administrator is now open. Applications will be accepted until October 3rd.

The Commission is looking for a strong and principled leader with integrity and sound judgment to serve as the next chief of COPA.

COPA plays a critical role in the City's accountability system. It is an independent civilian-led agency that investigates allegations of police misconduct, including excessive force, officer-involved deaths in custody, sexual misconduct, and bias-based verbal abuse. In addition to investigating serious incidents, COPA identifies patterns of practice

and misconduct and issues policy recommendations to strengthen public trust and improve policy practices.

The next COPA chief will lead a team of about 140 professionals across investigative, legal, and administrative divisions, assuring that investigations are fair, thorough, and timely, while also driving systemic reforms.

The chief will work to build constructive relationships with law enforcement and community stakeholders.

Ideal candidates will be attorneys or experienced investigators with significant expertise in criminal, civil rights, or labor law or in law enforcement oversight.

The Commission continues to receive feedback to the community as to what they would like to see in the next COPA chief.

In addition to hosting four public listening sessions, we met with youth, justice-impacted organizations, and members of law enforcement and subject matter experts in police accountability.

All this feedback will be used to 1 inform our evaluation of the candidates. We hope 2 you will continue to engage with us in this 3 process. 4 We look forward to providing you 5 6 with an update on this process at our September meeting. 7 PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you, Vice President 8 9 Terry. Are there any questions on this 10 matter? Hearing none, we will now move to 11 12 Commissioner Wortham for an update related to traffic stops. 13 COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Good evening again. 14 Bear with me everyone. 15 16 On April 21st, the City of Chicago 17 submitted two versions of a draft traffic stops policy to the Illinois Attorney General and the 18 Independent Monitor in the Consent Decree between 19 the City of Chicago and State of Illinois. 20 One version was from the Chicago 21 22 Police Department and the other was from this Commission.

The Commission's version included a 24

23

lot of the language from CPD's version with some changes that a certain number of commissioners thought were important. Those policies were submitted to the Attorney General and Independent Monitor because more than a year ago the Independent Monitor recommended to the judge in the Consent Decree case that traffic stops by the Chicago Police Department should be included in the Consent Decree. That would mean that changes to the CPD's traffic stops policy would be made through the procedures laid out in the Consent Decree and would be overseen by the Independent Monitor and the federal judge in the case.

So on July 31st at our last

Commission meeting, the Commission voted on
several items intended to provide direction to
the Policy Working Group, which consists of me
and Commissioner Terry, related to traffic stop
policy position from members of the Commission.

The idea was that the CPD -- pardon me. Yes.

The Commission -- CPD Policy Working Group would
use the Commission's votes on those positions to
advance our work in the policy-development
process with the CPD and to represent the

Commission in the traffic stop Consent Decree negotiations.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

At the last Commission meeting, five commissioners supported limiting traffic stops for some type of traffic offenses. For example, five commissioners supported prohibited traffic stops when a driver registration sticker is expired for one year or less. Those five commissioners also supported prohibiting stops when a license plate is incorrectly fastened but can still be seen. For example -- I'm going to stick with it. Incorrectly fastened but still be Those five commissioners also voted to seen. support exceptions to the traffic stop limitations. For example, exception that police officers could make those types of traffic stops, i.e., for expired registration or improperly displayed license plate if the officer makes a determination that they have reasonable articulable suspicion or probable cause of a serious criminal activity.

The votes were as follows: On vote one, members of the Chicago Police Department -- okay. This was the language of the vote, and

then we'll read how the votes came out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Members of the Chicago Police Department shall be prohibited from conducting a traffic stop or temporarily detaining a driver of a vehicle that is not a commercial, livery, or chauffeured vehicle for any of the following violations. We just discussed missing or improperly displayed front license plate, so long as the vehicle has a properly displayed rear license plate, and a displayed registration sticker that's expired within one year; improper license plate fastening or positioning where the license plate is otherwise clearly displayed; improper rear-license-plate illumination; one nonfunctioning headlight, taillight or brake light during daylight if the vehicle has at least one functioning headlight, taillight, and brake light. Also operating a vehicle with a loud sound system.

Those commissioners who voted -- we will get to that, but commissioners who voted for this also voted for an exception that Chicago Police Department could conduct any of the aforementioned six stops if an officer is acting

upon reasonable articulable suspicion or probable cause of serious criminal activity. The votes for that were as follows: Five commissioners voted for the aforementioned restrictions with the exception, and two commissioners, that would be myself, Commissioner Wortham, and Commissioner Terry, voted no to that list of prohibitions and the exception.

Vote 2. Chicago Police Department members will not request or conduct a consent search of a motor vehicle or its driver or occupants during a traffic stop unless a member is acting upon reasonable articulable suspicion or probable cause of suspected criminal activity distinct from the basis of the initial traffic stop. Six of my commissioners voted yes to this. I voted no.

Moving forward, our discussions with the Attorney General, Chicago Police Department, and the Independent Monitor will reflect the positions of the aforementioned votes.

It's important to note that this process of developing final traffic stop policy is ongoing.

As we indicated, and I think

President Driver indicated at our last meeting in
his introduction to the vote, the negotiations
and related work with the court are governed by
confidentiality. There is no defined time frame,

and -- yes, let's see what else do we have.

And as President Driver said at the last meeting, as negotiations continue, the Commission may revisit and revise its position until the final policy is adopted. Commissioners will continue to work with Chicago Police Department, Attorney General, and the Independent Monitor to gather input and support the development of the best possible framework for traffic stops.

We're almost there. The votes we took on specific policy prescriptions are to provide directions to the Policy Working Group as Commissioner Terry and I negotiate on the Commission's behalf. We would encourage the public to continue sharing your feedback related to traffic stop policy, as many of you have already done and continue to do. And, again, we'll continue to work with the various entities,

CPD, the Attorney General, and Independent 1 2 Monitor to try to come to an agreement about this policy. 3 Our next meeting for this work is 4 5 planned for September. Thank you. PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you, Commissioner 6 Terry. 7 Are there any questions from the 8 Commission in this report? Sorry. Commissioner 9 Wortham. 10 And with Commissioner Terry being 11 12 present, we now have seven commissioners of the CCPSA are present. 13 COMMISSIONER MINOR: Are we open to 14 questions? 15 16 PRESIDENT DRIVER: Sure. 17 COMMISSIONER MINOR: Awesome. I just have a clarifying question. There was some revisions 18 made to the report. For example, the word 19 "majority" was replaced in the beginning of the 20 report to a certain number. Another time the 21 22 word "majority" was replaced with five commissioners twice, and then an example was 23

removed from the report, then prevailing vote was

24

also removed from the report. I'm just wondering can you explain these revisions and remissions to this report?

COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Just so you're clear, so the public is clear as well, these are notes that staff members write. I'm not obligated to read the notes that the staff writes. I'm actually capable of speaking for myself about the work that I and Commissioner Terry have done on our work group. This is not a report. These are suggested notes that the staff of the CCPSA are kind enough to draft for us.

Frankly, I rarely read them because I know the work that I do, and I'm able to report on that to the public.

A lot of it today, because it was so lengthy and because I wanted to make sure we were being accurate about the language that was proposed in the votes, but if you look back at most of our meetings, I rarely read from this paper because they're not actually our words.

So whatever you're reading or most of us are reading were written by staff. We're not obligated to read that. Everything I said

was accurate, and that's the answer to your question.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you.

Are there any other questions or comments about this item -- or not item. This portion of our agenda?

COMMISSIONER MINOR: I will say one of the things I would urge us as a Commission and with commissioners, the purpose of this, not even to be combative, but I think it's important for us to be clear on empirical data. I think it's important for us to be clear if the majority of commissioners have sided on one thing and the majority that's moving this way or majority of commissioners moving another way, and it's written in our report, it's important to inform the community about the Commission's position. That's the reason why we're here.

So I think it's very important for us to be consistent and clear when we are reporting out to the community on our work.

COMMISSIONER WORTHAM: Only that the suggestion in your comment was that I was not -- that anything I said was not honest. I actually

was more specific than the notes provided by the staff because I wanted the community to understand who voted which way, because I do think, again, as representatives from the City-wide body, yeah, I mean it's totally appropriate for us to say that. Again, whatever.

PRESIDENT DRIVER: Thank you. So we will move past this now.

Before -- is there any other business before the Commission before we enter the adjournment section?

Yesterday, I believe it was yesterday about 5:00 p.m., I sent a letter to my fellow Board members announcing my resignation as the president of the Commission. I'm not stepping away from the Commission. I will still continue to serve on the Commission. I'm simply stepping back from the presidency. Being in this position, it has been an honor for me as a person who has lost a ton of friends and family members to gun violence in the City and also people who have entrusted me for the last three and a half years in the position of the inaugural president of the

interim Commission and then serving as president of the first permanent Commission. It's truly been an honor to see how our staff has grown from 1 to about 22, 23, the work we've done with gang database, actually taking a government body that didn't exist and trying to build it up to something that's legitimate. I'm absolutely committed to this work. This means a lot to me. This is something that has taken about 35 to 40 hours of my time per week for the last 35 — three and a half years. Not 35 years. And it is an honor. It is something that's very stressful at times.

I think it's unfair of me as my commitments have grown in my personal life to stay in a position when I know there's perfectly capable people on this Commission who could step up and lead.

I will also say that Commissioner

Vice President Terry has been a great partner and has worked since the interim Commission and now the permanent Commission. It is my hope that she will become the next president of this Commission.

1	I'd like to thank you, the public,
2	and District Council members who nominated me.
3	Again, I am not stepping off the Commission, I
4	will be here for working groups. I will still be
5	here to serve in every capacity as I have been
6	able to as a commissioner, but I am taking a step
7	back from the presidency.
8	With that, I don't know if anyone
9	else has anything else they'd like to say.
10	Hearing no further business before
11	the Commission, this meeting is now adjourned.
12	Thank you.
13	(Proceedings were adjourned at
14	7:11 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 2 Community Commission for Public Safety and 3 Re: Accountability August 28, 2025 4 5 I, MAUREEN A. WOODMAN, C.S.R., do hereby certify that the foregoing Report of Proceedings 6 was recorded stenographically by me and was reduced to computerized transcript under my 7 direction, and that the said transcript constitutes a true record. 8 I further certify that I am not a 9 relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of 10 such attorney or counsel, or financially interested directly or indirectly in this action. 11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 12 my hand of office at Chicago, Illinois this 9th day of September 2025. 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 Α. WOODMAN, CSR 20 License No. 084.002740 21 22 23 24